

 \rightarrow

Landmark Chambers Barrister CV

Samantha Broadfoot KC

Contact us

clerks@landmarkchambers.co.uk +44 (0) 20 7430 1221



Samantha Broadfoot KC

Call: 1997 Silk: 2017 sbroadfoot@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Samanthas practice encompasses a wide spectrum of cases with a public law angle.

Expertise

Public and Administrative



Contact Practice Managers

Ben Connor	Zoe Bluck	Jamie Lal
020 7421 2483	020 7421 1301	020 7421 1309
bconnor@landmarkchambers.co.uk	zbluck@landmarkchambers.co.uk	jlal@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Practice Summary

Samantha is joint head of the Public Law Group at Landmark Chambers.

Samantha's practice encompasses a wide spectrum of cases with a public law angle including:

- Health care pharmaceutical regulation, hospital downgrades, NHS charging schemes, NHS investigations.
- Education academisation process, SEN, exclusion, Ofqual issues.
- Immigration systemic challenges, business, EU, removal, human rights, asylum.
- Human Rights across a range of fields including family law & parentage, surrogacy, regulating access to police DNA databases, discrimination, UNCRC.
- Disciplinary / regulatory / code of conduct e.g. proceedings before SDT, BSB, as well as challenges to decisions of such bodies & others, e.g. LSO.
- Local authority allocation of responsibility ('who pays'), community care, powers, conduct issues.
- Welfare PIP.
- Inquests air accidents, marine accidents and hospital deaths

• Public international law – questions of public international law arising out of the creation of the Republic of Cyprus and the Sovereign Bases Areas.

Samantha is individually ranked in the directories in Administrative and Public Law and in Immigration. She is a contributor to the textbook *NHS Law and Practice* (2018). She is also a Recorder and sits in the Crown Court. She was appointed as a member of the European Human Rights Commission's Panel in January 2020.

Samantha acts for individuals and campaign groups seeking to bring public law challenges, as well as for a range of clients from central and local government, and regulators. She acts across the range forums, from judicial review and statutory appeals, to disciplinary matters and inquests.

Prior to becoming a KC in February 2017, she was appointed to the Attorney General's A panel of Junior Counsel. This was following her previous appointments where in 2001, Samantha was appointed to the Attorney General's C Panel of Junior Counsel and in 2005, to the B Panel. In 2008, she was appointed to the Bar Standards Board Complaints Committee. In 2019, she was appointed as legal counsel to the Equality and Human Rights Commission's B Panel.

Public and Administrative

Jurisdiction / Procedural

- YD v SSHD [2019] UKAITUR PA076522017 (27 February 2019) Upper Tribunal, extradition, slip rule, remaking a decision, fairness, procedure where successful party neither appeals nor engages with the procedure rules.
- **ZN** (Afghanistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2018] EWCA Civ 1059; [2018] 5 WLUK 198 immigration, costs orders, human rights claims.
- Hysaj v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWCA Civ 1633 (16 December 2014) case in the Court of Appeal listed before the Vice-President with two others in order to enable the court to give guidance on the approach that should be taken to applications for extensions of time for filing a notice of appeal following the decisions of this court in *Mitchell v News Group Newspapers Ltd* [2013] EWCA Civ 1537, [2014] 1 WLR 795 and *Denton v T.H. White Ltd, Decadent Vapours Ltd v Bevan* and *Utilise T.D.S. Ltd v Davies* [2014] EWCA Civ 906, [2014] 1 WLR 3926. In each case the applicant failed to file a notice of appeal within the time prescribed by CPR 52.4(2), which made it necessary for him to seek an extension of time. The question was whether the *Mitchell/Denton* approach applied notwithstanding the fact that such applications are not technically the same as an application for relief from sanctions.
- *UZ (Pakistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2014] EWCA Civ 1319 (15 October 2014) Court of Appeal decision on the correct interpretation on the transfer provisions conferring jurisdiction on the Upper Tribunal to hear certain claims for judicial review and resolving the question as to whether the Upper Tribunal or the High Court had jurisdiction over the instant claim.
- Z (Children), (Application for release of DNA Profiles), Re [2014] EWHC 1999 (Fam); [2014] Fam. Law 1235 whether the High Court had jurisdiction to order the disclosure of a DNA profile derived from a crime scene sample held by the police for use in determining paternity in the face of the putative father's refusal to consent. This judgment was successfully appealed to the Court of Appeal (Master of the Rolls): X & Anor v Z (Children) & Anor [2015] EWCA Civ 34.

- *Rajaratnam v Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2014] EWCA Civ 8 immigration case in which the central issue in the Court of Appeal was whether the judge in the Upper Tribunal erred in law or acted unfairly in reopening and departing from findings of fact made by the First-tier Tribunal as to the genuineness of the relationship.
- Sanders & Anor v Airports Commission & Anor [2013] EWHC 3754 (Admin) (02 December 2013) urgent challenge brought to decisions taken by the Airport Commission and the Secretary of State for Transport on the grounds of apparent bias and seeking an order quashing the sift criteria and an order prohibiting the Commission from publishing its "short list" of proposals until such time as various measures had been taken.
- ML (A Child), R (on the application of) v Youth Justice Board [2013] EWHC 3083 (Admin) Judicial review of the decision taken by the Youth Justice Board to transfer ML from a Secure Training Centre to a Young Offender Institution. The questions for the Court were whether the decision was procedurally unfair, was in breach of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights and whether, even if it was lawful to transfer him to a Young Offender Institution, it was nonetheless unlawful to transfer him to YOI Feltham in particular.

Regulatory - Professional Conduct

- SRA v Malik Nazeer & Malik Saleem Case No. 11664-2017 5 day contested hearing before the Solicitors Disciplinary
 Tribunal in which the two principals were accused of a large number of breaches of the SRA Principles and the SRA Code of
 Conduct in the context of bringing immigration cases against the Home Office.
- Crawford, R (on the application of) v The Legal Ombudsman & Anor [2014] EWHC 182 (Admin) judicial review challenge to a decision of the Legal Ombudsman.
- Butt, R (On the Application Of) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWHC 264 (Admin) Samantha
 appeared on behalf of a firm of solicitors at a Hamid hearing in which the firm had been summonsed to attend in order to
 show good reason why they should not be referred to the SRA. As a result careful witness statements and submissions made
 to the court, the firm successfully avoided that referral.

Regulatory - Cancellation of Care Home Registration

• *Marshall v Commission for Social Care Inspection* [2009] EWHC 1286 (Admin) – The High Court considered an appeal from the Care Standards Tribunal in respect of their decision to uphold the Commission's decision to cancel her registration as a provider of a care home, without which she was legally unable to run the home. The judge agreed with the Commission's submission that in the context of the honesty and integrity requirement the burden of proof remained on the individual even in an appeal against cancellation.

Regulatory - Suspension of Childminder Registration

Ofsted v GM & WM [2009] UKUT 89 (AAC) (28 April 2009) – The Upper Tribunal, Lord Justice Carnwath presiding, considered the scope of the powers granted to Ofsted for the purposes of suspending the registration of childminders under regulation 9 of the Childcare (Early Years and General Childcare Registers) (Common Provisions) Regulations 2008 (SI 2008/976), as amended and gives guidance on the test to be applied when considering the exercise of those powers. For more detail on news feed – <u>click here</u>.

Regulatory - Licensing and the Smoking Ban

R. (on the application of Blackpool Council) v Howitt [2008] EWHC 3300 (Admin); (2009) 173 J.P. 101 – the Court was required to consider whether a breach of the smoking ban imposed as a result of s.8 of the Health Act 2006 amounted to a matter that would be relevant when considering the licensing objectives set out under the Licensing Act 2003 s.4(2)(a) and in particular the meaning of the words of "crime and disorder". The judge below held that the words "crime" and "disorder" were to be read conjunctively, so that the word "disorder" qualified the word "crime". Accordingly she held that it was irrelevant. The High Court however upheld the Secretary of State's submission that the word "and" in this context should be read to mean "or". It was accordingly relevant and the appeal allowed.

Standards in Public Life

- Samantha has been instructed by various Councils over the years as well as the Standards Board for England. She has
 appeared at Standards Committee Hearings, as well as before the Tribunals and the High Court. Most recently in the
 summer of 2018 she was appointed as the Independent Legal Advisor to Worcestershire County Council in order to assist
 the Conduct Committee determine a heavily contested complaint against a councillor.
- JP v Standards Committee of Surrey County Council [2011] UKUT 316 (AAC) The Upper Tribunal gave guidance on the standard to be applied when considering an application for leave to appeal to the First Tier Tribunal.
- R (Councillor Mullaney) v The Adjudication Panel for England & others [2009] EWHC 72 (Admin) the High Court considered the meaning of "official capacity" and "respect" in the Code of Conduct and gave guidance on the effect of Article 10 of the Human Rights Act 1998 and its relation to the need to uphold standards in public life.

Other - Vexatious Litigant

Ewing v Director of Public Prosecutions & Anor (Rev 2) [2010] EWCA Civ 70 – Samantha was again appointed as an amicus in the Court of Appeal in order to assist the Court on the question as to whether judicial review proceedings are properly regarded as 'civil proceedings' within the meaning of s42 of the Supreme Court Act which restricts the actions of those declared to be a vexatious litigant. Samantha had been appointed as amicus before the divisional court below as well: *R. (on the application of Ewing) v DPP* [2008] EWHC 2655 (Admin).

Education

Schools admissions

R (on the application of Drayton Manor High School Governers) v Schools Adjudicator [2008] EWHC 3119 (Admin); [2009] E.L.R. 127 – this was the first High Court challenge to a Schools Adjudicator decision since the change to the Schools Admissions Code. The High Court had to consider the Code's requirement to promote equity and not disadvantage children from a particular social or racial group in the context of considering a set of over-subscription criteria which had the result of excluding children in particularly deprived area although they lived considerably closer than some children in a less deprived area who did fall within the catchment.

Human Rights and Civil Liberties

Freedom of Speech

London Christian Radio Ltd & Anor, R (on the application of) v Radio Advertising Clearance Centre [2013] EWCA Civ 1495; [2014] 1 W.L.R. 307 – The Court of Appeal considered an appeal by a Premier Christian Radio brought in respect of a decision that a proposed advertisement breached the prohibition on political advertising contained in the Communications Act 2003. The question was whether the advertisement infringed the statutory prohibition on political advertising, whether the intention of the broadcaster relevant, whether the advertisement was "directed towards a political end" and whether role of court to decide that issue or to review lawfulness of regulatory body's decision.

Immigration

- Elsakhawy (immigration officers: PACE) Egypt [2018] UKUT 86 (IAC) immigration, PACE, when immigration officers must follow PACE Codes of Practice, relevance of s78 PACE (evidence exclusionary power), EEA Regulations.
- Mirza & Ors, R (on the application of) v The Secretary of State for the Home Department [2016] UKSC 63, [2017] INLR 325, [2017] Imm AR 716, [2017] WLR 85, [2017] 1 WLR 85, [2017] 3 All ER 824 immigration, statutory construction.
- SM & Anor v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2013] EWHC 1144 (Admin); [2013] Imm. A.R. 1051 Whether the Secretary of State's policy and instruction document entitled "Discretionary Leave" issued on October 27, 2009 was unlawful when read in conjunction with the obligations relating to the welfare of children contained in the Borders, Citizenship and Immigration Act 2009 s.55.
- YF (China) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2012] EWCA Civ 77 Appeal in the CA (Master of the Rolls presiding) from a decision of the Upper Tribunal in an immigration case. The question of law related to whether it could be said that the burden of proof had shifted on to the Secretary of State and more generally what was the approach to be taken to such questions.
- ZN (Afghanistan) & Ors v Entry Clearance Officer (Karachi) [2010] UKSC 21 case in the Supreme Court regarding the construction of paragraph 352A of the Immigration Rules and whether a person who was a refugee but has since been granted British Citizenship is still entitled to benefit from the rules on family reunion which apply to refugees.
- AN (Pakistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2010] EWCA Civ 757 Court of Appeal case concerning the meaning of the immigration rules relating to domestic violence.
- *HB v Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2008] EWCA Civ 806; [2009] 2 W.L.R. 992; [2008] 3 C.M.L.R. 24; [2009] Imm. A.R; Times, July 25, 2008 The Court of Appeal gave guidance on the meaning of "sufficiently serious" for the purposes of Council Directive.

Social Security

 Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v MM (Scotland) [2019] UKSC 34 (18 July 2019) – Social Security – Personal Independence Payments. Samantha appeared in the Supreme Court leading Leon Glenister and Julius Komorowski in the SSWP's appeal in respect of the correct interpretation of Regulation 9 of the PIP Regulations. • Brookes v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions & Anor [2010] EWCA Civ 420 (29 April 2010) – Court of Appeal case concerning the duties of the CSA in taking enforcement decisions, in particular the effect of s2 of the Child Support Act and Article 8.

Qualifications

- LLB in English and French Law at the University of Kent
- LLM in European and Human Rights Law from Exeter University

Recommendations

"Samantha's preparation is the most meticulous and her written pleadings are highly focused, leaving no room for doubt, even to novice readers." "She is really easy to work with. She is very collegiate in her approach."

Immigration, Chambers and Partners, 2024

"Samantha is very collegiate in her approach - she is a team player who works with you rather than for you." "Samantha is able to assess difficult points and weaknesses in the case." Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2024

"Sam is a very experienced government lawyer. She deploys her experience effectively in a manner that demonstrates good judgement. That is appreciated by judges."

Immigration (including Business Immigration), Legal 500, 2024

"A forensic advocate and a good strategist."

Administrative Law and Human Rights, Legal 500, 2024

"She is excellent; she's got a personable way of advising clients and in the courtroom she's very economical with what she says. She's a fantastic advocate." "She is really professional, really collegiate and full of integrity and courtesy." Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2023

"She is extremely brilliant. Unfailingly professional and really collegiate." "She has an astonishing ability to get to grips with the issues and to master briefs. Her advocacy skills are second to none." "She knows what she's doing. She dives deep into cases and goes through everything with a microscope."

Immigration, Chambers and Partners, 2023

"Samantha is a barrister who convinces the court and has excellent technical knowledge." Immigration, Legal 500, 2023

"An excellent advocate, persuasive and astute. Knows what the court wants and delivers."

Administrative Law and Human Rights, Legal 500, 2023

"She is incredibly diligent and hugely committed to her clients." "She has a very pleasant courtroom manner but behind that is one of the best tacticians I've encountered."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2022

"She is really intelligent and has a phenomenal way of cutting through complex legal issues and making them seem very easy. She has impeccable judgement."

Immigration, Chambers and Partners, 2022

"Very talented, well-respected, intelligent all-rounder in immigration law." Immigration, Legal 500, 2022

"When she speaks in court you can hear a pin drop. She is economical in her submissions to ensure that the key points are conveyed to the tribunal. She is very persuasive on her feet. She is an absolute delight to work with and the go to silk for complicated human rights cases that straddle the Family Division and the Administrative court." Administrative and Public Law, Legal 500, 2022

"The judges love her and she clearly commands the courtroom. She is full of grace and charm but puts her points boldly." "Incredibly effective – and destroys her opponents. She's willing to take on board other views, but doesn't shy away from making judgement calls."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"She has a very incisive mind; she gets on top of cases, understands what they are about and can articulate the issues and where the strengths and weaknesses are." "She is fantastically astute, incredibly well spoken and commands the courtroom." Immigration, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"She is a real pleasure to work with: really personable, informed and willing to take on board other views. She doesn't shy away from making judgement calls."

Immigration, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"She has an almost regal manner about her, she is full of grace and charm, but doesn't shy away from making her points boldly. The Judges love her and she definitely commands the courtroom."

Administrative and Public Law, Legal 500, 2021

"Sharp, focused and intelligent, she is a very impressive advocate and knows how to fight her client's corner." Immigration, Legal 500, 2021 "She was very reasonable and calm and presented in a fluid way. She worked incredibly hard and was great for her client." Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2020

"She had such a calm and reasonable voice, and handled the case with great professionalism." "She's very good for government work."

Immigration, Chambers and Partners, 2020

"Judges want to know what she thinks."

Immigration, including Business Immigration, Legal 500, 2020

"A smooth and calm advocate who is pleasant to deal with." "Fair, sensible and impressive."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2018

"Scientifically thorough and attentive to detail when it comes to case preparation and presentation. Her knowledge of law is second to none and her ability to convey complex matters in a simple fashion is phenomenal." Immigration, Chambers and Partners, 2018

Ranked: Tier 1 for 2017 silks, in Public & amp; Administrative Law. "She is a sensible and persuasive advocate, like and respected by her opponents and the courts." Legal 500, 2018

Cases and inquiries

19 07 23	Supreme Court finds Gang Injunctions compatible with ECHR
30 03 22	Supreme Court grants permission in challenge to Gang Injunction regime
29 04 20	McConnell & Anor, R (On the Application Of) v The Registrar General for England and Wales [2020] EWCA Civ 559 (29 April 2020) (previously TT, R (On the Application Of) v The Registrar General for England and Wales [2019] EWHC 2384 (Fam))
20 12 19	Shu & Anor, R (On the Application Of) v The Secretary of State for Health And Social Care & Anor [2019] EWHC 3569 (Admin)
18 07 19	Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v MM (Scotland) [2019] UKSC 34
11 04 19	Keep the Horton General v Oxfordshire Clinical Commissioning Group & Anor [2019] EWCA Civ 646

13 06 18	R. (on the application of DB) v Immigration Appeal Tribunal
13 06 18	R (on the application of Kelly) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
11 06 18	Beart v Her Majesty's Prison Service [2005] EWCA Civ 467, CA
11 06 18	R. (on the application of Taylor) v Haydn-Smith
07 06 18	Shala v Secretary of State for the Home Department
07 06 18	N v Secretary of State for the Home Department
07 06 18	R. (on the application of N) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
07 06 18	R (on the application of Adam) v Home Department [2003] EWHC 2384
07 06 18	R. (on the application of Q) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
07 06 18	R. (on the application of L) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
07 06 18	R. (on the application of Hoxha) v Special Adjudicator
06 06 18	FH & Ors, R (on the application of) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
05 06 18	R (on the application of FH) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
05 06 18	R. (on the application of Setter) v Secretary of State for Defence
05 06 18	Hare v Marcar
05 06 18	Dede v Secretary of State for the Home Department
05 06 18	DK v Secretary of State for the Home Department
05 06 18	R. (on the application of Naseer) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
05 06 18	JC v Secretary of State for the Home Department: AIT Country Guidance Case.
05 06 18	HB v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2008] EWCA Civ 806
05 06 18	E, R (on the application of) v Secretary of State for the Home Department

04 06 18	R. (on the application of Drayton Manor High School Governers) v Schools Adjudicator [2008] EWHC 3119 (Admin); [2009] E.L.R. 127
01 06 18	ZN (Afghanistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2018] EWCA Civ 1059; [2018] 5 WLUK 198
01 06 18	Ewing v Director of Public Prosecutions & Anor (Rev 2) [2010] EWCA Civ 70
31 05 18	Jones v Birmingham City Council [2018] EWCA Civ 118; [2018] 5 WLUK 422; [2018] 2 Cr. App. R. 23
31 05 18	R. (on the application of Blackpool Council) v Howitt [2008] EWHC 3300 (Admin); (2009) 173 J.P. 101
31 05 18	Marshall v Commission for Social Care Inspection [2009] EWHC 1286 (Admin)
31 05 18	Bashir & others v Administrator of the Sovereign Bases Areas [2011] Appeal No1
31 05 18	JP v Standards Committee of Surrey County Council [2011] UKUT 316 (AAC)
31 05 18	AN (Pakistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
31 05 18	ZN (Afganistan) & Ors v Entry Clearance Officer (Karachi) [2010] UKSC 21
31 05 18	R (Brookes) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2010] 1 W.L.R. 2448 (CA)
31 05 18	Ofsted v GM & WM [2009] UKUT 89 (AAC)
31 05 18	London Borough of Lewisham v D & Ors [2010] EWHC 1239 (Fam) (29 March 2010)
31 05 18	R (Councillor Mullaney) v The Adjudication Panel for England & others [2009] EWHC 72
30 05 18	YF (China) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2012] EWCA Civ 77
30 05 18	Hysaj v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWCA Civ 1633
30 05 18	X & Anor v Z (Children) & Anor [2015] EWCA Civ 34; [2015] 1 W.L.R. 2501; [2015] 4 All E.R. 205; [2015] 1 Cr. App. R. 28; [2015] 1 F.L.R. 1100; [2015] Fam. Law 369
30 05 18	R (Kent County Council) v Secretary of State for Health [2015] EWCA Civ 81
30 05 18	SM & Anor v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2013] EWHC 1144 (Admin); [2013] Imm. A.R. 1051

29 05 18	Senior Judges Court of the Sovereign Bases Areas hears major appeal about public sector pay freezes
29 05 18	ML (A Child), R (on the application of) v Youth Justice Board [2013] EWHC 3083 (Admin)
29 05 18	Iqbal & Ors, R (on the application of) v The Secretary of State for the Home Department [2015] EWCA Civ 838
29 05 18	Z (Children), (Application for release of DNA Profiles), Re [2014] EWHC 1999 (Fam); [2014] Fam. Law 1235
29 05 18	Chief Constable of Lancashire v Wilson and others, SoS intervening [2015] EWHC 2763 (QB)
29 05 18	Crawford, R (on the application of) v The Legal Ombudsman & Anor [2014] EWHC 182 (Admin)
29 05 18	Butt, R (On the Application Of) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWHC 264 (Admin)
29 05 18	Rajaratnam v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWCA Civ 8
29 05 18	Court of Appeal upholds breadth of the prohibition of political advertising in broadcast media in London Christian Radio Ltd & Anor, R (on the application of) v Radio Advertising Clearance Centre [2013] EWCA Civ 1495
29 05 18	Sanders & Anor v Airports Commission & Anor [2013] EWHC 3754 (Admin)
24 05 18	High Court refuses permission to challenge the Consultation Paper on Leveson Part 2 and matters relating to press-self regulation
24 05 18	Free movement: Court of Appeal holds that validity of marriage in EEA cases to be determined by law of host member state
24 05 18	Gang Injunction regime found compliant with Article 6 ECHR by Court of Appeal
30 04 18	Hickey v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2018] EWCA Civ 851; [2018] 4 W.L.R. 71; [2018] 3 All E.R. 563; [2018] 4 WLUK 330
21 12 17	Cherwell DC v Oxfordshire CCG [2017] EWHC 3349 (Admin); [2017] 12 WLUK 634
14 09 17	Awuku v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2017] EWCA Civ 178
15 07 17	R. (on the application of Schmelz) v Immigration Appeal Tribunal

28 03 17	Z (A Child) [2017] EWCA Civ 157; [2017] 1 W.L.R. 4255; [2017] 3 WLUK 670; [2017] 2 Costs L.R. 311; [2018] 1 F.L.R. 956; [2017] 2 F.C.R. 404
14 12 16	Mirza & Ors, R (on the application of) v The Secretary of State for the Home Department [2016] UKSC 63, [2017] INLR 325, [2017] Imm AR 716, [2017] WLR 85, [2017] 1 WLR 85, [2017] 3 All ER 824
11 09 15	A & Ors (Human Fertilisation And Embryology Act 2008) [2015] EWHC 2602 (Fam); [2016] 1 All E.R. 273; [2015] 3 F.C.R. 555; (2015) 146 B.M.L.R. 123; [2015] Fam. Law 1333
15 10 14	UZ (Pakistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWCA Civ 1319

Publications

Contributor to NHS Law and Practice: Samantha wrote Chapter 8 on NHS Regulators.

Article for Lexis Nexis news analysis section of its current awareness service for practitioners on *Jones v Birmingham CC* – June 2018.